




The Research Frontline - Journal

A Peer-Reviewed Quarterly Journal of Interdisciplinary
Inquiry and Research

Journal homepage: <https://trfjournal.cdfaindia.org/index.php/trfjournal/en/index>

The Complex Interplay between India's Ethnic Conflicts, National Security, and Grand Strategy

Dr. Meenu Sharma 

To cite this article: Sharma, M. (2026): The Complex Interplay between India's Ethnic Conflicts, National Security, and Grand Strategy, The Research Frontline - Journal (Vol 1, Issue 2, January - March 2026) DOI:<https://doi.org/10.66871/trf-j.v1i2.008>

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.66871/trf-j.v1i2.008>




© 2026 The Author(s). Published by
Allahabad Academic Press,



Published online: 05 May 2026.




Submit your article to this journal 



Vol. 1, Issue 2, January - March 2026



The Complex Interplay between India's Ethnic Conflicts, National Security, and Grand Strategy

Dr. Meenu Sharma¹ 

¹Assistant Professor (History), Dewan Law College, Meerut

ABSTRACT

The handling of India's internal diversity, especially its ethnic conflicts, is essential to the country's rise to regional prominence. These disputes, which range from caste and language-based tensions in the heartland to Kashmir and the Northeast, threaten not only national unity but also the development and implementation of India's grand strategy. This essay examines the complex relationships that exist between India's changing strategic perspective, national security apparatus, and ethnic conflicts. It explores how the state tries to strike a balance between democratic governance and securitized responses, as well as how domestic unrest influences military deployment, diplomatic stances, and regional aspirations. The paper makes the case that a sustainable grand strategy must balance external assertiveness with internal pluralism through the use of interdisciplinary lenses from political science, security studies, and ethnic conflict theory. The results highlight the importance of narrative control, targeted development, and inclusive federalism as components of national security and strategic vision.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 27 January 2026
Accepted: 30 March 2026

KEYWORDS

India, Ethnic Conflict, National Security, Grand Strategy, Kashmir, Northeast India, Counterinsurgency, Strategic Stability

I. Introduction

India's internal issues are increasingly influencing its national security and strategic vision. The Indian state faces a complex terrain of identity politics due to its more than 2,000 ethnic groups, 22 official languages, and deeply ingrained caste, religious, and regional identities. The unity and integrity of the country are constantly threatened by these internal divisions, which frequently show up as conflict, ranging from large-scale movements for autonomy to insurgencies and communal riots.

This article examines the connection between India's grand strategy and its ethnic conflicts. Grand strategy is frequently viewed as an externally focused endeavor. It is defined as the alignment of a nation's means and ends across political, military, and economic dimensions. However, internal conflicts in India limit regional ambition in addition to depleting state resources. Caste-based mobilizations in central India, the insurgency in Jammu and Kashmir, and ethno-nationalist movements in the Northeast all require ongoing state attention. These issues have an impact on foreign policy, military deployments, and even relations with Bangladesh, China, and Pakistan.

This study contends that India's internal diversity is a key factor in determining its geopolitical behavior and not just a domestic governance issue by placing ethnic conflict within a larger security framework. The paper describes how ethnic unrest has impacted India's border security, counterinsurgency doctrine, intelligence infrastructure, and regional diplomacy, drawing on case studies, policy analysis,

CONTACT: Dr. Meenu Sharma  sharma.meenu498@gmail.com

© 2026 the author(s). Published by Allahabad Academic Press, India. This is an Open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The terms on which this article has been published allow the posting of the accepted Manuscript in a repository by the author(s) or with their consent.

and theoretical models. It also looks at how India's grand strategy aims to control, appropriate, or represses these internal conflicts in order to appear strong and stable on the international scene.

The study poses important queries: Can India lead the region without addressing its internal problems? What relationship exists between its militarized responses to dissent and its democratic ethos? And what can be learned about balancing national security objectives with ethnic diversity from international experiences? These dimensions will be examined in the sections that follow, starting with a summary of India's ethnic landscape and the country's historical conflict legacies.

II. Historical Faultiness and Ethnic Diversity

India has one of the world's most diverse ethnic groups. India exemplifies what Benedict Anderson referred to as a "imagined community"—an intrinsically pluralistic and negotiated nationhood—with hundreds of communities distinguished by language, religion, caste, tribe, and geography. Despite being constitutionally celebrated, this diversity has historically led to conflict, especially when identity becomes politicized or territorially entrenched. A historical examination of colonial legacies, postcolonial nation-building, and the ensuing uneven federalism is necessary to comprehend India's ethnic faultiness.

III. Ethnic Categorization's Colonial Legacy

Through administrative borders, censuses, and the establishment of "martial races" and "tribal" classifications, British colonial rule formalized ethnic and caste identities. In addition to solidifying ephemeral social identities, these practices established the divide and conquer concept of governance. For example, the colonial state's unequal treatment of plains and hill tribes, or Hindu and Muslim communities, created the conditions for regional and communal unrest that persisted after the Raj. The most painful outcome of this ethnic division was the 1947 partition of India, which led to the ongoing conflict in Kashmir and Hindu-Muslim tension.

IV. Ethnic anxiety and postcolonial nation-building

Following independence, India's leaders embraced a constitutional model that fused a centralized government with liberal democracy. Even though India was proclaimed a "Union of States" in Article 1 of the Indian Constitution, Article 356 gave the federal government the authority to overrule state governments, particularly when doing so would protect national security. Early on, the conflict between national identity and sub-national aspirations, as well as between unity and federalism, became apparent.

The 1950s saw a significant reorganization of state borders, mostly along ethnolinguistic lines, as a result of movements for linguistic states. Some dissatisfaction was quenched, but regional identities were solidified within a competitive political system. Demands for autonomy from the Nagas, Mizo's, Tamils, and Sikhs intensified over the years into political impasses or armed conflicts. The conflict between ethnic identity and national unity is best exemplified by the Khalistan movement in Punjab in the 1980s and the numerous insurgencies in the Northeast.

V. Identity Assertion and Structural Inequities

India's economic growth has been uneven on both a social and spatial level. Tribal and ethnic minority populations are frequently found in areas that have remained underdeveloped, such as the Northeast, portions of central India, and border regions. Economic grievances and political radicalization have resulted from these groups' exclusion from state services, land dispossession, and militarization.

The ethnic landscape is further complicated by caste-based disparities. A new wave of identity-based mobilization was sparked by the Mandal Commission report from the 1990s, which extended affirmative action for OBCs. Although caste is not a strictly ethnic concept, its combination with regional and linguistic identities has produced strong identity politics that affect national cohesion, as seen in Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, and Bihar.

VI. Secularism and Religion in the Dynamics of Conflict

Religious identity has been a major factor in many ethnic conflicts, particularly those involving Hindu-Muslim and Hindu-Christian relations. Religious polarization has been both a cause and an effect of ethnic conflict, as evidenced by the 1992 destruction of the Babri Mosque, the 2002 Gujarat riots, and ongoing communal tensions. The state's ability to strike a balance between pluralism and sovereignty has become more difficult as a result of the rise of Hindutva politics in the twenty-first century, which has further widened identity lines by frequently portraying religious minorities as threats to internal security.

VII. Significant Ethnic Conflicts and Their Effects on National Security

The internal ethnic conflicts that have dotted India's post-independence history have had a significant impact on the country's national security framework. Ethnic conflicts present two challenges, unlike external threats: they threaten territorial integrity and put the state's democratic fabric to the test. In order to demonstrate how ethnic tensions have forced the state to reevaluate its military, intelligence, and diplomatic strategies, this section examines four crucial conflict zones: Kashmir, the Northeast, Punjab, and Central India.

VIII. The Most Globalized Ethnic Conflict: Kashmir

The most well-known and persistent ethnic-nationalist conflict in India is the one in Kashmir. An important turning point was the insurgency that broke out in 1989, which had its roots in the disputed accession of the princely state of Jammu and Kashmir in 1947 and was exacerbated by Indo-Pakistani wars. Initially secular and nationalist in tone, the call for azadi (freedom) was quickly appropriated by Islamist organizations with Pakistani cross-border backing. India was forced to establish counterinsurgency mechanisms such as the Armed Forces Special Powers Act (AFSPA) and deploy more than half a million troops in the region after the insurgency escalated into a full-fledged proxy war. There have been numerous ramifications for national security, militarization of domestic politics, with nationalist sentiments frequently stoked by Kashmir. internationalization of India's domestic affairs as a result of claims of self-determination and human rights abuses made by Pakistan and other international powers. Foreign policy, particularly with regard to China and Pakistan, is being shaped by counterinsurgency, which has resulted in surgical strikes and doctrines like "Cold Start" following the Uri and Pulwama attacks. Although Kashmir's special status was revoked in 2019 by Article 370, the region is still highly securitized, with claims of normalization being undermined by sporadic violence, digital blackouts, and political detentions.

IX. Northeast India: Fragmented Identities, Persistent Insurgencies

Since independence, there have been ongoing insurgencies in northeast India, which is made up of eight states and numerous tribal and linguistic communities. Autonomy, independence, or ethnic recognition have been sought by movements headed by the Naga National Council (later NSCN), ULFA in Assam, and various Meitei and Kuki factions in Manipur. The ongoing paramilitary presence, such as the Assam Rifles and Border Security Force, is one of the consequences for national security. Fragile ceasefires are the result of intricate peace talks, frequently involving numerous factions and splinter groups. Cross-border ramifications: Arms trafficking and militant safe havens are made easier by porous borders with China, Bangladesh, and Myanmar. With some success (the Mizo Peace Accord of 1986) and numerous ongoing failures (the current ethnic conflicts in Manipur between the Meiteis and Kukis), the state's response has alternated between using force and making accommodations. Only a portion of the region's alienation has been lessened by infrastructure development and integration initiatives like the "Act East" policy.

X.Punjab: The Militarization of Internal Security and Khalistan

An ethnic and religious insurgency, the Khalistan movement in Punjab in the 1980s and early 1990s called for a Sikh homeland. Mass violence, state repression, and intense communal polarization were all features of the conflict, which was sparked by perceived discrimination, economic grievances, and past traumas like Operation Blue Star. The centralization of intelligence activities, including the growth of the Intelligence Bureau and the internal mission of RAW, has implications for national security. Trade-offs between surveillance and human rights have resulted in claims of extrajudicial executions and enforced disappearances. Global image management and diaspora activism, as pro-Khalistan lobbying in the US, UK, and Canada shaped global conversation. By the middle of the 1990s, the insurgency had been put down by a combination of economic incentives, political involvement, and counterinsurgency. Recent events, like the farmers' demonstrations and the resurgence of diaspora activism, indicate that if structural problems are not resolved, ethnic grievances might reappear.

XI.Adivasi Opposition and the Naxalite Insurgency in Central India

The Naxalite–Maoist insurgency in central India, especially in Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, and Odisha, is the voice of oppressed Adivasi (tribal) communities. Although the movement's ideological roots are not strictly ethnic, it has benefited from indigenous resistance to mining projects, land alienation, and state-led displacement. The security ramifications include the establishment of paramilitary zones, like the "Red Corridor," where thousands of security personnel are stationed; state-sponsored militias, like Salwa Judum, which conflate military and civil authority; and the development-security conundrum, where infrastructure is viewed as both a tool for promoting peace and a target for insurgents. Although militarily successful in certain regions, the government's "clear, hold, and build" approach has failed to address more profound ethnic and environmental grievances or provide inclusive development. In conclusion, India's main ethnic conflicts are entwined with the development of its national security doctrine rather than being discrete incidents. They restrict foreign policy, violate democratic norms, and force a militarized posture. The following section will examine how India's larger grand strategy both shapes and is shaped by these internal divisions.

The Calculus of Strategy: External Posturing and Internal Stability: Grand strategy is frequently thought of as a guide for coordinating a country's long-term economic, military, and political goals with its available resources and geopolitical context. However, India's grand strategy has developed within the limitations of its complicated and conflictual internal environment. In addition to diverting attention from outside goals, internal ethnic conflicts have had a direct impact on how India asserts its authority, defines its identity, and plans its defense

XII.The Concept of Internal Sovereignty and Grand Strategy

Although it hasn't always been formalized, India's great strategic tradition has always placed a high value on internal sovereignty as the cornerstone of external power. For example, the Nehruvian model of moral leadership and non-alignment was based on the idea that India must first control its internal diversity in order to behave respectably abroad. This resulted in a careful balancing act that required centralizing authority to maintain unity while allowing for diversity.

This paradigm has been put to the test on numerous occasions by ethnic conflicts, including those in Punjab, Kashmir, and the Northeast. The central state sees internal dissent as a challenge to sovereignty rather than just a democratic expression due to the inability to fully resolve them, which has resulted in a persistent security-first mentality. The flexibility of India's external strategies is limited by this securitized lens, particularly in South Asia, where neighbor relations are impacted by ethnic spillovers.

XIII. Ethnic boundaries and strategic depth

Due to its geographic location, India is at risk from unfriendly or unstable neighbors. Ethnic frontier zones have become crucial to India's strategic depth calculations due to China's proximity to insurgents in the Northeast, Pakistan's involvement in Kashmir, and the porous border with Myanmar. Force is being used to reinforce ethnic integration and territorial control in Kashmir and Ladakh as a result of the military buildup that followed clashes with China and Pakistan. Targeting Northeast insurgents, the cross-border strikes (like the one in Myanmar in 2015) sent a message that internal unrest would not be permitted to grow outside. The two most significant initiatives that have heightened ethnic tensions at home and raised international concerns from Bangladesh and others are the Citizenship Amendment Act (CAA) and the National Register of Citizens (NRC) in Assam. Therefore, India's ability to exert control over its ethnic margins frequently gives its external posture legitimacy, making internal coherence a requirement for international credibility.

Diplomatic Constraints of Ethnic Insecurity: Human rights issues resulting from ethnic conflicts often pose a challenge to India's diplomatic engagements, particularly with Western democracies. In international fora, diaspora demonstrations, and U.S. congressional hearings, Kashmir has been the target of criticism. Global rights organizations have also taken notice of ethnic tensions in the Northeast. Global rights organizations have also taken notice of ethnic tensions in the Northeast. In response, India has used information diplomacy and narrative control tactics, such as banning foreign journalists, curating public diplomacy through MEA outlets, and lobbying in Western capitals to connect domestic threats to the discourse surrounding international terrorism. This has allowed India to portray itself as a victim of an externally sponsored insurgency rather than a minority oppressor.

India has become defensive and reactive in multilateral settings as a result, especially when it comes to issues of minority rights and self-determination, even though this has been successful in garnering short-term international support.

XIV. Internal Threats and Military Modernization

Internal as well as external threats have frequently been the driving forces behind India's defense modernization. Central paramilitary forces (CRPF, BSF, and Assam Rifles) have had to be expanded for internal security rather than traditional warfare, and counterinsurgency in Kashmir and the Northeast has made the use of advanced surveillance technologies, UAVs, and cyber intelligence necessary. Another important step was the establishment of specialized commands, such as the Rashtriya Rifles, to handle ethnic insurgencies.

The distinction between domestic counterinsurgency and conventional deterrence is blurred by this dual-use military doctrine, which influences defense procurement and budgetary priorities. The costs of this dual focus were made evident during the 2020 Galwan conflict with China, when India's military was overburdened with border defense and population control. In conclusion, India's internal ethnic landscape is inextricably linked to its grand strategy. In addition to strategic alliances and military modernization, internal legitimacy and unity are necessary for the pursuit of regional dominance and worldwide influence. Ethnic disputes are not merely side topics; rather, they are ingrained in India's strategic thinking.

XV. Federalism, Counterinsurgency, and Intelligence Reactions

India's counterinsurgency strategies, which combine military tactics with intelligence operations and political responses, have greatly influenced the country's approach to managing its internal ethnic conflicts. A tense relationship between federal institutions and state governments has resulted from the state's reliance on centralized power, frequently at the expense of federal autonomy. Furthermore, both official and informal intelligence services have been essential in negotiating the challenging landscape of interethnic conflict and domestic insurgencies.

XVI. Counterinsurgency Strategy: From Kashmir to the Naxalite Belt

From its early lessons in Kashmir and the Northeast to its current emphasis on the Maoist insurgency in Central India, India's counterinsurgency (CI) doctrine has undergone significant change. India has employed a mix of intelligence gathering, hard power, and "hearts and minds" tactics over the decades, with varying degrees of success. With the deployment of more than half a million soldiers under the Armed Forces Special Powers Act (AFSPA), Kashmir has witnessed a militarized counterinsurgency model. The defense of national sovereignty has been used to defend the use of force, including the detention of civilians, search operations, and the repression of political dissent. The state's attempts to maintain control over the area by both force and diplomacy have been hampered by this oppressive strategy, which has also increased local discontent. Both military and diplomatic solutions, frequently negotiated with insurgent leaders, have been applied to the Northeast. For example, the 1986 Mizo Peace Accord, which integrated the rebels into mainstream politics, ended the Mizo insurgency. On the other hand, despite numerous ceasefires and peace negotiations, the Naga conflict has not been resolved. Although attempts at political reconciliation have been made in tandem with the use of force, dissatisfaction is still fueled by the absence of significant autonomy or development in tribal areas.

A different problem arises in Central India, the birthplace of the Naxalite-Maoist insurgency. In this case, the Indian government uses a containment strategy that combines military operations with an emphasis on community involvement and infrastructure development. However, long-standing tribal disenfranchisement and displacement brought on by massive mining operations still feed the insurgency. India's counterinsurgency efforts in each of these instances demonstrate the state's concentration of power and its reliance on military means to stifle regional and ethnic demands. Although this has frequently been successful in maintaining physical control, it has not addressed the underlying issues, which has strengthened ethnic identity politics.

XVII. The Problem of Local Autonomy and Federalism

The division of power between the federal government and state governments is still a controversial topic, despite the fact that India's federal structure is intended to accommodate its enormous diversity. Federalism has been characterized by tensions between central intervention and state autonomy when it comes to handling ethnic conflicts. The central government has regularly used Article 356 of the Constitution to impose President's Rule, dissolving state governments and assuming direct control in areas like Kashmir, the Northeast, and portions of Central India. The ability of local governments to handle ethnic issues is frequently weakened by this centralized approach.

The Special Status of Kashmir: By virtue of Article 370 of the Indian Constitution, which gave it autonomy over all but defense, foreign policy, and communications, Jammu and Kashmir enjoyed special status for many years. The notion that the central government should run the state instead of the state assembly using democratic procedures was further solidified in 2019 with the repeal of Article 370.

Northeastern States: Ethnic insurgencies have demanded more autonomy or even independence from states like Nagaland, Manipur, and Assam. But generally speaking, the central government has adopted a "top-down" strategy, trying to mediate peace agreements while maintaining authority over regional institutions. This has frequently resulted in discontent, as insurgents have criticized the central government for its unwillingness to grant significant autonomy and the apparent inability of peace agreements to resolve regional ethnic grievances.

Essentially, the desire for local autonomy is in opposition to India's centralization in response to ethnic unrest. One characteristic that distinguishes India's approach to ethnic conflicts is the tension between federalism and central authority.

XVIII. The Function of the State and the Informal Network in Intelligence and Security Agencies

India's counterinsurgency efforts have been significantly shaped by its intelligence network. To keep an eye on and address ethnic tensions, agencies like the National Investigation Agency (NIA), Research and Analysis Wing (RAW), and Intelligence Bureau (IB) collaborate. In addition to monitoring insurgent activity, these organizations also monitor and manage ethnic movements that may jeopardize national security.

The Function of RAW and IB: As the agency in charge of domestic intelligence, the Intelligence Bureau has frequently been at the forefront of keeping an eye on insurgencies, especially in the Northeast and Kashmir. Although RAW's primary focus is on external threats, it has also managed cross-border ethnic movements, especially in Kashmir, where intelligence sharing has become essential due to Pakistan's involvement in the insurgency. Both agencies have managed diplomatic relations to lessen international pressure while conducting clandestine operations to stifle ethnic movements.

Unofficial Networks: Informal networks, such as local militias, community leaders, and ethnic organizations, frequently play an important but unofficial role in intelligence gathering outside of official intelligence agencies. This leads to a hybrid system in which ethnic networks occasionally contest the state's monopoly on intelligence. Although the state's surveillance strategy is frequently linked to these unofficial networks, they also reveal the complexities of ethnic grievances that are not publicly discussed.

XIX. Human Rights and Global Consequences

India's counterinsurgency and intelligence tactics have frequently resulted in human rights violations, even though they may provide temporary stability. Conflict areas like Kashmir and the Northeast are rife with accusations of torture, extrajudicial executions, and enforced disappearances. The international community, international watchdogs, and human rights organizations have all criticized these violations. Nevertheless, such worries are frequently subordinated to India's strategic priorities.

India's international reputation is also impacted by its internal security measures. India's actions in Kashmir and the Northeast have been closely examined by international actors, particularly Western countries, who have called for reforms and adherence to international human rights standards. India, on the other hand, frequently uses counterterrorism rhetoric to defend repressive measures, arguing that they are required to preserve national sovereignty and stop terrorism. In conclusion, India's intelligence system, federal response to ethnic demands, and counterinsurgency doctrine are all closely related to the larger issue of national security. These initiatives have preserved state authority and territorial integrity, but they have frequently made ethnic grievances worse, making it more difficult to develop a cohesive grand strategy. India's Grand Strategy: Between Democracy, Realpolitik, and Regional Power will be discussed in the following section.

XX. India's Grand Strategy: Balancing Regional Power, Democracy, and Realpolitik

India's grand strategy requires a careful balancing act between its democratic values and the demands of regional power dynamics and national security. Both internal ethnic conflicts and external pressures have a significant impact on its strategic goals, making it more difficult for it to project power and preserve stability. India must negotiate the rough seas of ethnic diversity and political instability, which frequently jeopardize its internal unity, as it aims to establish itself as a major player in regional and global security. This section explores the conflicts and opportunities that exist between India's democratic framework, realpolitik, and grand strategy.

XXI. Strategic Pragmatism and Democratic Aspirations

One of the main tenets of India's foreign policy is its status as the largest democracy in the world. It aims to establish itself as a world leader in promoting human rights, democracy, and an international order based on rules. These principles, however, frequently clash with its internal security requirements and the

realpolitik factors that influence its strategic perspective. International Democracy Advocacy: India has continuously defended democratic principles internationally, especially in multilateral institutions such as the United Nations. In order to protect its own borders and internal order from internal ethnic conflicts, it supports the ideals of sovereignty, territorial integrity, and non-interference.

Conversely, India's grand strategy is heavily influenced by pragmatism and realpolitik, especially when it comes to handling the security threats posed by China, Pakistan, and regional instability. For example, the pursuit of national interests without excessive dependence on outside forces is reflected in India's strategic autonomy. India has regularly put security concerns ahead of its democratic principles when resolving its ethnic conflicts, especially in Kashmir, the Northeast, and Central India. Policies of repression, monitoring, and control over ethnic movements have resulted from this, and they frequently violate international human rights norms.

XXII. South Asian Strategic Posturing: Stability and Power

India's regional environment, which is complicated by ethnic conflicts, territorial disputes, and historical hostilities with neighbors, has a significant impact on its strategic thinking. The India-Pakistan rivalry dominates the security environment in South Asia, and India's grand strategy is made more difficult by Pakistan's backing of insurgent groups in Kashmir and the Northeast and the continuous border disputes with China in Ladakh.

Relations between India and Pakistan: For many years, the India-Pakistan rivalry has revolved around the conflict in Kashmir, with each nation interpreting the area from distinct ethnic and geopolitical perspectives. India has taken a tough stance in response to Pakistan's backing of separatist movements in Kashmir, frequently defending its internal repression under the pretext of national sovereignty. A lengthy conflict with multiple wars, ceasefires, and diplomatic impasses has resulted from this. In this instance, India's grand strategy is centered on controlling internal dissension without jeopardizing national security while preserving regional stability through deterrence.

Northeastern China: India's security concerns are further complicated by China's support for insurgent movements in the Northeast and its strategic interests in South Asia. In addition to creating tension, the Sino-Indian border dispute has affected India's regional military and intelligence plans. India must strike a balance between its regional aspirations and the need to secure its borders and resolve internal ethnic conflicts in light of China's expanding influence in the Indian Ocean and its backing of Pakistan in the Kashmir dispute.

Regional Power Dynamics and the Indian Ocean: One of the main pillars of India's ambitious plan to counter China's Belt and Road Initiative and project power in the region is the country's expanding naval presence in the Indian Ocean. Ethnic conflicts and the security of India's maritime borders are closely related because insurgent groups operating along Bangladesh's and Myanmar's porous borders have the potential to destabilize the area. The interplay between domestic political realities and regional security concerns is reflected in India's ability to handle these internal conflicts while demonstrating its naval might.

XXIII. Global Aspirations and Strategic Autonomy

A key component of India's grand strategy is its pursuit of strategic autonomy. Since independence, India's foreign policy has been centered on the idea of strategic autonomy, which is the capacity to make decisions on one's own behalf based on national interests. The internal difficulties brought on by ethnic conflicts and the requirement to manage its diverse demographic landscape, however, make this goal more difficult.

Autonomy and Alliances in Balance: India's wish to retain strategic autonomy while interacting with international powers on matters of trade, defense, and security frequently shapes its relations with major

powers like the US, Russia, and the EU. For example, India's goal of reducing China's influence in the Indo-Pacific is in line with its involvement in the Quad, the quadrilateral security dialogue between the United States, Japan, Australia, and India. However, India is hesitant to fully align with any global power that might use human rights issues to criticize its internal policies because of the country's ongoing ethnic tensions, particularly in Kashmir and the Northeast. **India's Position in Multilateral Organizations:** India has continuously pushed for a bigger say in international affairs, particularly in the G20, the World Trade Organization, and the United Nations Security Council (UNSC). But occasionally, its credibility in these forums has been damaged by internal ethnic conflicts. India has frequently been urged by the international community, especially in the West, to improve its record on human rights, particularly in Kashmir. However, India's response has generally focused on securing its internal unity while promoting a just international order and asserting its sovereignty and non-interference, establishing itself as a leader of the Global South.

XXIV. Role of Nationalism in India's Overarching Strategy

Under the influence of Hindu nationalist ideologies, nationalism—in particular, the assertion of cultural and ethnic identity has grown in importance within India's grand strategy. This change affects India's external posture as well as its internal ethnic dynamics. **Domestic Consequences:** Policies like the Citizenship Amendment Act (CAA) and the National Register of Citizens (NRC) have exacerbated ethnic tensions, and the rise of Hindu nationalism especially since the election of Narendra Modi and the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) has led to a focus on majoritarian values. Hindu and Muslim communities, as well as other ethnic groups like Dalits and Adivasis, are now more divided than before as a result of these policies. Underpinned by a narrative of cultural unity, the national government's concentration of power runs the risk of alienating minority ethnic groups and escalating internal instability.

External Consequences: India's nationalism has been a source of both strength and conflict on the global scene. Although India portrays itself as a powerful, cohesive country, its internal ethnic identity conflicts, especially in relation to how Muslims, Dalits, and other minorities are treated, have sparked concerns in international fora. Relations between India and its neighbors, such as Bangladesh and Pakistan, where ethnic and religious minorities are also important, are hampered by its aggressive nationalism. India may find it more difficult to engage in flexible diplomacy or form partnerships with nations that value inclusive governance if it pursues a strong national identity that is based on a specific cultural vision.

To sum up, India's grand strategy is characterized by a complex interaction between its realpolitik calculus, democratic values, and dedication to national sovereignty. Ethnic conflicts within its borders influence its external relations and regional aspirations in addition to posing a threat to internal cohesion. India must reconcile its internal ethnic conflicts with its ambitions for global leadership if it hopes to increase its prominence in the world.

XXV. India's Future Strategy: Addressing Internal Conflicts and Regional Stability

India's future policy must address the twin issues of resolving internal ethnic disputes and establishing its dominance in the region. India must negotiate the intricacies of its varied population and regional environment as it looks to strengthen its standing on the international scene. A strategic realignment that prioritizes regional stability and internal cohesion is required due to the enduring ethnic conflicts and the unstable geopolitics of South Asia. This section examines how India can strengthen its regional and international strategic posture while resolving its internal ethnic conflicts.

Reevaluating Ethnic Integration and Internal Security: India's internal security strategy needs to change from reactive counterinsurgency tactics to a more comprehensive framework that tackles the underlying causes of ethnic unrest. Integrating ethnic and regional diversity within the Indian state's broader framework is essential to achieving lasting peace. In order to ensure that ethnic communities,

particularly in conflict-prone areas like Kashmir, the Northeast, and tribal areas, have a significant stake in governance, a future strategy should incorporate inclusive governance. This could entail giving local governments more authority, updating current laws like AFSPA, and encouraging political discourse with ethnic leaders to resolve issues. The 1986 Mizo Peace Accord provides a model for incorporating rebel groups into the political system, but doing so will call for a rethinking of state-society relations rather than just a halt in hostilities.

In order to lessen the appeal of insurgency and ethnic separatism, economic development is essential. In addition to improving marginalized ethnic communities, investments in infrastructure, healthcare, and education will help these areas become more integrated into the national economy. Addressing economic inequality as a cause of ethnic and regional conflict must be the government's top priority.

While promoting a sense of national cohesion, India must endeavor to acknowledge the cultural uniqueness of its diverse ethnic groups. This entails safeguarding cultural heritage, upholding indigenous rights, and making sure underrepresented groups are heard in national discussions about India's future.

Social cohesion will be strengthened by fostering pluralism and tolerance at the societal level, which will lessen conflict between majority and minority ethnic groups.

National Security Approach: Transitioning from Preventive Diplomacy to Counterinsurgency

India's national security strategy needs to be revised to include preventive diplomacy and conflict resolution in addition to military deterrence and counterinsurgency. India must acknowledge the significance of non-military instruments in preserving stability, even though conventional hard power is still necessary to combat both internal insurgencies and external threats.

Preventive Diplomacy: Addressing ethnic movements before they turn violent should be the main goal of India's grand strategy. Diplomatic outreach to nearby nations that harbor insurgent groups or encourage transboundary ethnic solidarity movements—like Pakistan's role in Kashmir and Myanmar's in the Northeast—may be one way to achieve this. India can encourage regional stability and lessen outside support for insurgencies by participating in regional discussions.

Multilateralism and Regional Cooperation: India will be better able to handle ethnic conflicts with transnational ramifications if regional security frameworks such as the Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO) and the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC) are strengthened. In order to stop ethnic militancy from spreading across borders and destabilizing the area, cooperative security measures and intelligence-sharing procedures with nearby nations will be essential.

Integration of the Civil and Military: India will be better equipped to handle internal conflicts if civil and military authorities coordinate better, particularly in conflict areas. A more thorough approach to managing ethnic conflicts will result from the incorporation of civilian institutions into governance and reconstruction initiatives, even though military tactics like counterinsurgency are still crucial.

XXVI. Great Power Competition and Regional Stability

India must strike a balance between managing its ethnic and geopolitical issues and pursuing national security as it looks to establish itself as a regional power. The stability of the region depends on India's relations with its immediate neighbors, China, Bangladesh, and Pakistan, which need to be managed carefully. Furthermore, India's internal unity must guide its role in the Indo-Pacific as part of its counter-Chinese strategy.

Handling Pakistani Relations: The biggest threat to regional stability continues to be the rivalry between India and Pakistan, especially with regard to Kashmir. To avoid further escalation, India must maintain its

stanc on Kashmir while simultaneously looking into opportunities for diplomatic contact with Pakistan. Trade and communication, two strategies that foster confidence, may be used to lessen tensions and avoid proxy wars. India must exercise caution, though, making sure that any involvement doesn't undermine its sovereignty or give Pakistani extremist groups more confidence.

Aligning strategically with China: China presents a strategic challenge that calls for a nuanced response, both in terms of the territorial disputes in Ladakh and its larger geopolitical influence in South Asia. India needs to talk to China in order to prevent conflict, even though it must keep a strong deterrent posture along the border. Modernizing the military and bolstering border infrastructure should be part of the future plan, as should looking into ways to defuse tensions through bilateral or multilateral discussions.

Balancing Regional Relations: The stability of the region will be greatly influenced by India's relations with Bangladesh, Nepal, and Sri Lanka, nations with similar cultures and ethnicities. In order to support economic growth while upholding ethnic diversity and sovereignty, India must carefully manage these relationships. For example, maintaining good relations will be aided by diplomatically addressing border security issues with Dhaka and attending to the concerns of Muslims in Assam who speak Bengali.

Indo-Pacific Strategy and Power Projection: India's aspirations in the Indo-Pacific region depend on its capacity to control regional instability and ethnic diversity within the region. India must make sure that its internal disputes do not affect its foreign policy as it builds up its naval force to oppose China's maritime strategy. India is better equipped to fight terrorism, safeguard international shipping lanes, and contribute to regional security if it is strong and stable.

XXVII. Global Strategy and International Partnerships:

India must acknowledge that its internal problems will impact its international standing as it aspires to become a global power. International collaboration will be essential for security and the economy. India must make sure, though, that its external goals are not jeopardized by its internal ethnic conflicts. **Engagement with Major Powers:** A key component of India's future strategy will be its interactions with superpowers such as the US, Russia, and the EU. While handling domestic ethnic issues, especially those pertaining to human rights, these relationships must be fostered. India's ability to successfully project both soft and hard power is essential to its expanding role in global governance through organizations like the UN, G20, and BRICS. India can raise its diplomatic profile by resolving internal ethnic disputes in an open and inclusive way.

Development assistance and economic diplomacy: Trade agreements, infrastructure development, and investments that support internal stability should be given top priority in India's economic diplomacy. The nation can generate employment and lessen economic inequality, which is frequently the cause of ethnic conflicts, by utilizing programs like Make in India and Atmanirbhar Bharat (self-reliant India). Furthermore, India's position as a major actor in the global economy may be strengthened by its expanding influence in international financial institutions.

XXVIII. India's Grand Strategy for the Future

India's grand strategy will keep changing as it encounters new obstacles at home and abroad. India must prioritize inclusive policies that resolve ethnic grievances without undermining national unity, preventive diplomacy that works with regional neighbors and international powers to defuse tensions before they worsen, and strategic autonomy that enables India to preserve its sovereignty while meaningfully interacting with the international community in order to successfully navigate the complexities of ethnic conflicts, national security, and regional stability. India can establish itself as a major actor in the new multipolar global order by tackling its internal issues and displaying strength on the outside. But doing so will necessitate striking a careful balance between economic diplomacy, military might, and soft power, as well as acknowledging that social cohesiveness and internal unity are the true sources of national security.

Conclusion

The distinct interaction of regional power ambitions, national security requirements, and ethnic diversity shapes India's grand strategy. Its future course as a global power will depend on its capacity to resolve internal disputes while claiming its place in regional and international affairs. India must understand that maintaining internal harmony and tackling the root causes of ethnic unrest are just as important to national security as military might as it develops its strategic framework.

References

1. Acharya, Amitav. *The India Model: Liberal Democracy, Economic Growth, and Global Strategy*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2020.
2. Chandran, D. *India's Grand Strategy: The Lessons of History*. New Delhi: Penguin India, 2018.
3. Ganguly, Sumit. *India's Foreign Policy: The Politics of Post-Cold War Transition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2019.
4. Jaffrelot, Christophe. *The Hindu Nationalist Movement and Indian Politics: 1925 to the 1990s*. New Delhi: Viking, 1996.
5. Kapur, S. Paul. *India's Nuclear Policy: A Study in Grand Strategy*. New Delhi: Routledge, 2016.
6. Khan, Shujaat. *Ethnic Politics in South Asia: Implications for India's Grand Strategy*. Lahore: The Lahore School of Economics Press, 2017.
7. Lal, Vivek. *The India-China Border: Strategic Rivalries and Geopolitical Ramifications*. London: Routledge, 2021.
8. Menon, Shashi. *The Struggle for Kashmir: India, Pakistan, and the Crisis in Kashmir Valley*. New York: Macmillan, 2018.
9. Mohan, C. Raja. *Crossing the Rubicon: The Shaping of India's Foreign Policy*. New Delhi: Viking, 2003.
10. Pasha, Mohammad. "Ethnic Conflicts in India: A Global Perspective on Internal Security." *Journal of South Asian Studies* 34, no. 3 (2020): 102-121.
11. Raghavan, Srinath. *War and Peace in Modern India: A Strategic History*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2021.
12. Rizvi, Hassan Abbas. *Pakistan's Security and the Politics of Internal Conflicts*. Karachi: Oxford University Press, 2020.
13. Saran, Shyam. *India and the World: The Future of Foreign Policy*. New Delhi: Observer Research Foundation, 2021.
14. Schaffer, Teresita C. *India and the United States: A Partnership in the Making*. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press, 2019.
15. Srinivasan, R. "India's Internal Security and its National Strategy." *International Affairs Review* 58, no. 1 (2021): 70-88.
16. Subramanian, Arvind. *India: The Future of Power in Asia*. New York: Free Press, 2020.
17. Vanaik, Achin. *The Politics of Ethnic Conflict: India and Beyond*. New Delhi: SAGE Publications, 2017.